Sinus augmentation as reported by Boyne and James and, later, Tatoum facilitates placement of dental implants in the posterior maxilla when the native bone is inadequate to support masticatory load on endosteal implants. The maxillary sinus is lined with periosteum and Schneiderian membrane, which is a pseudostratified ciliated epithelium that functions by removing debris and bacteria from the sinus. Sinus augmentation is accomplished by elevating the sinus membrane from the osseous floor and filling that void with graft material.

Perforation of the Schneiderian membrane has been reported as a complication occurring 10% to 60% of the time during sinus augmentation. Various methods of treating the perforation have been published. The objective of this retrospective case review is to evaluate the effect of sinus membrane perforation on the success of the bone grafts and dental implants placed into these grafts.

**Patients and Methods**

**Patients**

In 2007, a total of 128 patients underwent sinus augmentation with placement of dental implants at our institution. One hundred fifteen patients were partially edentulous and 13 patients were completely edentulous. A total of 175 sinuses were augmented with 115 of the membranes being reported intact at the time of surgery. A total of three infections occurred in patients who sustained perforated sinuses and one infection occurred in a patient who had an intact sinus. All four infections resolved after culture sensitivity and placement of the patient on an appropriate antibiotic for 10 days.

Of 438 dental implants placed in the augmented sinuses, five implants failed, four of which were associated with perforated sinuses and one which was not associated with a perforated grafted sinus. (Implant Dent 2011;20:13–19)
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the flap closed primarily with 3-O Chromic gut and 3-O Vicryl sutures.

RESULTS

One hundred twenty-eight patients received sinus augmentation and dental implants in 2007. There was a total of 175 sinus augmentations: 47 were bilateral (Figs. 1 and 2) and 81 were unilateral (Figs. 3 and 4). The surgeon noted 115 intact sinuses and 60 (34%) perforated sinuses (Figs. 5–8) during the surgeries. There were four (2.2%) sinus infections (Table 1). Three infections occurred in patients who had sustained a perforated sinus and one in a patient who had an intact sinus. All four infections resolved after culture and sensitivity and placement of the patient on Avelox (moxifloxacin hydrochloride) (Bayer, Wayne, NJ), 400 mg daily for 10 days plus Flonase (fluticasone propionate) (Glaxo Smith Kline, Triangle Park, NC), two sprays in each nostril daily for 21 days.

There was a total of 438 dental implants placed in the bone-augmented sinus. Three hundred four implants were placed in intact sinuses, and 134 implants were placed in perforated sinuses. A total of five implants failed: four implants from perforated sinus and one implant from an intact sinus. These data result in a 99% success rate of implant integration in intact sinuses compared with 97% success rate in perforated sinuses (Table 2).

Two hundred forty-eight implants were Noble Biocare Replace Select and 190 were Implant Direct. Noble Biocare had two (1%) failures compared with three (2%) failures for Implant Direct (Table 3).

Immediately-loaded implants were compared. There were 47 implants placed in augmented sinuses, 36 implants placed in intact sinuses, and 11 implants placed in perforated sinuses. Nineteen implants were Implant Direct and 28 implants were Nobel Biocare. One implant (Nobel Biocare) failed from an intact sinus (Table 4).

DISCUSSION

The literature states that there are many complications with sinus aug-
mentation, including perforation of sinus membrane, acute or chronic sinusitis, cyst, mucocele, delayed wound healing, hematoma, and loss/sequestrum of bone. The most frequently occurring complication is perforation of the sinus membrane, which is reported in from 10% to 60% of cases.4–7 Perforation of the sinus membrane by itself may cause further complications such as increased risk of infection due to communication with other sinuses or risk of migration of graft particles into the sinus where they induce polyps or other sinus diseases.12,13 Some studies report abandoning sinus lifting procedure because of the wide perforation8,14–18 However, Schneiderian membrane perforation is not an absolute indication for abandoning the procedure unless the membrane is largely destroyed.12,19

There are many options for treating perforation of the Schneiderian membrane. The most common method is the placement of resorbable membrane under the perforated Schneiderian membrane.13,19,20,21 Other methods for treating perforation include folding the membrane up against itself,22 using sutures,12 or using fibrin glue10,23 to close the perforation. At our institution, we routinely use Surgicel to cover small to moderate size perforations. Surgicel is an absorbable hemostatic agent made of an oxidized cellulose polymer, and it is usually used to control bleeding. Previous reports indicate that using Surgicel is technically simple, fast, reliable, and economical. It shows limited bactericidal qualities, and has no contraindications in the maxillary sinus.24–26

Factors that can influence the chance of Schneiderian membrane perforation include anatomical variations, surgeon’s experience, and previous sinus infection or surgery. Anatomical factors consist of thickness of the lateral maxillary sinus wall, convex lateral sinus wall, connection between Schneiderian membrane and oral mucosa, narrow and wide sinus, maxillary sinus septa, longitudinal septum, and root-shape configuration.3,9–11 It is also suggested that previous sinus surgery and absence of alveolar bone are risk factors for a
higher chance of Schneiderian membrane perforation.\textsuperscript{10} Therefore, imaging studies such as CT scan may be required to reveal sinus anatomy to further assist in recognizing possible variations.

**CONCLUSION**

This study demonstrates that perforation of the Schneiderian membrane does not cause negative long-term effects on sinus bone grafts and dental implants. However, more studies correlating the size of sinus membrane perforation with the type of repair performed are needed.
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